arXiv:1407.5490v2 [math.AG] 24 Sep 2015

ON THE UNIVERSAL FAMILY OF HILBERT SCHEMES OF POINTS ON A SURFACE

LEI SONG

Abstract. For a smooth quasi-projective surface X and an integer $n \geq 3$, we show that the universal family Z^n over the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$ of n points has non $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein, rational singularities, and that the Samuel multiplicity μ at a closed point on Z^n can be computed in terms of the dimension of the socle. We also show that $\mu \leq n$.

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth quasi-projective surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Let $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$ denote the Hilbert scheme of zero dimensional closed subschemes of X of length n. Fogarty's fundamental result [5] claims that $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$ is a smooth, irreducible variety of dimension $2n$. When X is complete, one may consider $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$ as a natural compactification of the space of n unlabeled distinct points on X. For this reason, $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$ is a quite useful tool for dealing with infinitely near points on X.

The universal family $Z^n \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)\times X$, with the induced projection $\pi : Z^n \to \mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$, is a finite flat cover of degree n over $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$. The importance of Z^n lies in its role in inductive approaches for the study of Hilbert schemes, e.g. in deduction of the Picard scheme of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$ [6], and in calculation of the Nakajima constants [4]. While Z^2 is simply the blow up of $X \times X$ along the diagonal, Z^n is complicated in general. It is well known that Z^n is irreducible, singular (except n=2), and Cohen-Macaulay. By [6], Z^n is also normal with R_3 condition. More precisely, we prove in this note

Theorem 1.1. *The universal family Z^n is non $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein, and has rational singularities. For a closed point $\zeta = (\xi, p) \in Z^n$, the Samuel multiplicity $\mu = \binom{b_2+1}{2}$, where $b_2 = b_2(\mathcal{O}_{\xi,p})$ is the dimension of the socle of $\mathcal{O}_{\xi,p}$.*

We note that $b_2 + 1$ equals the minimal number of generators of the ideal $\mathscr{I}_{\xi,p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$; see Lemma 2.1.

For $i > 0$, let $V^i = \left\{(\xi, p) \in Z^n \mid b_2(\mathcal{O}_{\xi,p}) = i \right\}$. The locally closed subsets V^i form a stratification of Z^n. A proposition due to Ellingsrud and Lehn [3] says that $\mathrm{codim}(V^i, \mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)\times X) \geq 2i$. This kind of codimension estimate is an ingredient in proving the irreducibility of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$ and more generally, that of certain quot schemes. An immediate consequence of their estimate is that $b_2 \leq n + 1$. In fact, we have

Theorem 1.2.

$$b_2 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{1+8n}-1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

and the bound is optimal. Consequently, one has $\mu \leq n$.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert scheme of points on a surface, universal family, rational singularities, Samuel multiplicity.

Haiman [8, Prop 3.5.3] proves a similar result on multiplicity, namely $\dim_k O_{\xi,p} \geq \binom{b_2+1}{2}$, which implies that $\mu \leq n$. We need to point out that $\mu \neq \dim_k O_{\xi,p}$ in general.

Acknowledgments: I am grateful to Lawrence Ein and Steven Sam for valuable discussions.

2. Preliminaries

For a point $p \in X$, $\mathfrak{m}_p$ denotes the maximal ideal of the local ring $O_{X,p}$ and $k(p)$ denotes the residue field. Let $\xi \subset X$ be a zero dimensional closed subscheme with the defining ideal $\mathscr{I}_\xi$. The socle $\mathrm{Soc}\left(O_{\xi,p}\right)$ is defined to be $\mathrm{Hom}_{O_{X,p}}(k(p), O_{\xi,p})$. We denote the minimal number of generators of $\mathscr{I}_{\xi,p}$ by $e(\mathscr{I}_{\xi,p})$. By Nakayama lemma $e(\mathscr{I}_{\xi,p}) = \dim_{k(p)} \mathscr{I}_{\xi,p} \otimes k(p)$.

Since X is smooth and of dimension two, $O_{\xi,p}$ has a minimal free resolution

$$0 \to \overset{b_2}{\oplus} O_{X,p} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \overset{b_1}{\oplus} O_{X,p} \to O_{X,p} \to O_{\xi,p} \to 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where obviously $b_2 + 1 = b_1$, and φ is represented by a matrix with entries in $\mathfrak{m}_p$. The Hilbert-Burch theorem [2, 20.4] says that all the $b_2 \times b_2$ minors of φ generate the ideal $\mathscr{I}_{\xi,p}$. A standard computation of homological algebra shows

Lemma 2.1. *With the notations above,* $\dim_{k(p)} Soc\left(O_{\xi,p}\right) = b_2 = e(\mathscr{I}_{\xi,p}) - 1$. □

We recall that the *incidence correspondence Hilbert scheme* $\mathrm{Hilb}^{n,n-1}(X)$ is defined as

$$\{(\xi, \xi') \mid \xi' \text{ is a closed subscheme of } \xi\} \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^n(X) \times \mathrm{Hilb}^{n-1}(X)$$

For such (ξ, ξ'), one has a unique point p which fits into the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{I}_\xi \to \mathscr{I}_{\xi'} \to k(p) \to 0.$$

So naturally associated to $\mathrm{Hilb}^{n,n-1}(X)$, there are two morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Hilb}^{n,n-1}(X) & \\ {\scriptstyle\psi}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle\phi} \\ Z^n & & \mathrm{Hilb}^{n-1}(X) \times X \end{array}$$

by $\psi : (\xi, \xi') \mapsto (\xi, p)$ and $\phi : (\xi, \xi') \mapsto (\xi', p)$.

We collect a few facts about $\mathrm{Hilb}^{n,n-1}(X)$ that will be needed in the next section.

Proposition 2.2 ([9], [1])**.** *$Hilb^{n,n-1}(X)$ is a smooth irreducible variety of dimension $2n$.*

Proposition 2.3 ([4])**.**

1. *$Hilb^{n,n-1}(X) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n})$, where ω_{Z^n} is the dualizing sheaf. In particular, $Hilb^{n,n-1}(X)$ is a resolution of singularities of Z^n.*
2. *$Hilb^{n,n-1}(X) \simeq Bl_{Z^{n-1}}(Hilb^{n-1}(X) \times X) \simeq \mathbb{P}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z^{n-1} \subseteq Hilb^{n-1}(X) \times X}\right)$.*
3. *For a closed point $(\xi, p) \in Z^n$, $\psi^{-1}((\xi, p)) \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^{b_2-1}$.*

3. Proofs

We denote the discriminant divisor of the finite map $\pi : Z^n \to \mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)$ by B^n, which is a prime divisor parameterizing all non-reduced subschemes of X of length n.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In the proof of [6, Thm 7.6], Fogarty shows that there are precisely two prime Weil divisors on Z^n dominating B^n, which are described as

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^n &= \overline{\{(\xi, p) \mid \xi \text{ has type } (2, 1, \cdots, 1), \text{and } l_p(\xi) = 2\}}, \\ E_2^n &= \overline{\{(\xi, p) \mid \xi \text{ non reduced}, l_p(\xi) = 1\}}, \end{aligned}$$

with the reduced induced closed subscheme structure. Moreover he proves that neither E_1^n nor E_2^n is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

Let r_i be the ramification index of E_i^n over B^n, and $K(*)$ the field of functions of $*$. From the identity

$$r_1[K(E_1^n) : K(B^n)] + r_2[K(E_2^n) : K(B^n)] = n,$$

and $[K(E_1^n) : K(B^n)] = 1, [K(E_2^n) : K(B^n)] = n - 2$, we see that $r_1 = 2$ and $r_2 = 1$.

Then Riemann-Hurwitz formula for finite maps claims that

$$K_{Z^n} + (2c - 1)E_1^n + cE_2^n = \pi^*(K_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)} + cB^n), \tag{3.1}$$

for all $c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Thereby we deduce that K_{Z^n} cannot be $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier by setting $c = \frac{1}{2}$ or 0.

It thus remains to show the rational singularities of Z^n and compute the Samuel multiplicity. Let R be the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X)\times X,\zeta}$. Since Z^n is a codimension two Cohen-Macaulay subscheme of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X) \times X$, one has the minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta}$

$$0 \to \overset{b_2}{\oplus} R \to \overset{b_1}{\oplus} R \to R \to \mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta} \to 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Claim A: b_2 in (3.2) equals $b_2(\mathcal{O}_{\xi,p})$.

Proof of Claim A. Let $\{\xi\} \times X$ denote the fibre of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X) \times X$ over ξ. Tensoring (3.2) with $\mathcal{O}_{\{\xi\}\times X,p}$ yields the complex

$$0 \to \overset{b_2}{\oplus} \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \to \overset{b_1}{\oplus} \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \to \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \to \mathcal{O}_{\xi,p} \to 0. \tag{3.3}$$

The complex is exact. In fact, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta}, \mathcal{O}_{\{\xi\}\times X,p}) &\simeq \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta}, R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X),\xi}} k(\xi)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X),\xi}}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta}, k(\xi)) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step is by the flatness of $\mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X),\xi}$.

Clearly (3.3) is minimal, so it is a minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{\xi,p}$, and we are done. □

By taking dual $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\cdot, R)$ for (3.2), one gets the exact sequence

$$\overset{b_1}{\oplus} R \to \overset{b_2}{\oplus} R \to \mathrm{Ext}_R^2(\mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta}, R) \to 0.$$

Since R is regular, one has $R \simeq \omega_R$, the canonical module. By duality, $\mathrm{Ext}_R^2(\mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta}, R) \simeq \omega_{Z^n,\zeta}$, and hence $\mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}_R^{b_2-1}$. Writing ρ for the projection $\mathbb{P} \to \mathrm{spec}\, R$, one has the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} \\ \mathrm{spec}\, \mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{spec}\, R \end{array}$$

Claim B: $\mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta})$ is a local complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}$.

Proof of Claim B. We have the diagram



where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ is the tautological invertible sheaf and the column map comes from the Euler sequence.

$\mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta})$ is defined scheme-theoretically by the vanishing of σ, and hence has b_1 defining equations. On the other hand, $\dim \mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta}) = 2n = \dim \mathbb{P} - b_1$, i.e. $\operatorname{codim}(\mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta}), \mathbb{P}) = b_1$ as required. □

In view of Claim B, the Koszul complex

$$0 \to \bigwedge^{b_1}(\overset{b_1}{\oplus}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)) \to \cdots \to \bigwedge^{2}(\overset{b_1}{\oplus}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)) \to \overset{b_1}{\oplus}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta})} \to 0 \tag{3.4}$$

is exact.

Observing that for all $j > 0$ and $b_2 - 1 \geq i \geq 0$,

$$R^j\rho_*\left(\bigwedge^{i}\overset{b_1}{\oplus}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)\right) = R^j\rho_*\left(\overset{\binom{b_1}{i}}{\oplus}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-i)\right) = 0,$$

thus by splitting sequence (3.4) into short ones, we obtain that for all $j > 0$,

$$R^j\rho_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta})} = 0.$$

Consider the fibred product for all closed point $\zeta \in Z^n$, cf. Prop. 2.3,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hilb}^{n,n-1}(X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} \\ \operatorname{spec}\mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Z^n. \end{array}$$

Since ν is flat,

$$R^j\psi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Hilb}^{n,n-1}(X)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z^n}} \mathcal{O}_{Z^n,\zeta} = 0,$$

by base change theorem. It then follows that Z^n has rational singularities, as $\mathrm{Hilb}^{n,n-1}(X)$ is smooth, Prop. 2.2.

As for multiplicity, we use its relation with the Segre class $s_t(\cdot)$ and the invariance of Segre class under a birational morphism (cf. [7, Chap. 4]), which give

$$\mu = s_0(\{\zeta\}, Z^n) = s_{b_2-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{b_2-1}, \mathrm{Hilb}^{n,n-1}(X)\right) = s_{b_2-1}(\mathcal{N}),$$

where $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}^{b_2-1}/\mathrm{Hilb}^{n,n-1}(X)}$. We note that $\mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}^{b_2-1}/\mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta})}$.

By the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}^{b_2-1}/\mathbb{P}} \to \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta})/\mathbb{P}}\Big|_{\mathbb{P}^{b_2-1}} \to 0,$$

and observing $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}^{b_2-1}/\mathbb{P}} = \overset{2n+2}{\oplus}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{b_2-1}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}(\omega_{Z^n,\zeta})/\mathbb{P}}\Big|_{\mathbb{P}^{b_2-1}} \simeq \overset{b_1}{\oplus}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{b_2-1}}(1)$, we get

$$\mu = s_{b_2-1}(\mathcal{N}) = c_{b_2-1}\left(\overset{b_1}{\oplus}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{b_2-1}}(1)\right) = \binom{b_1}{b_2-1} = \binom{b_2+1}{2},$$

where c is the Chern class. □

Remark 3.1. As a smooth surface varies, the universal families over Hilbert schemes of n points easily patch together. More precisely, let $f : X \to C$ be a smooth, projective morphism from a 3-fold X to a smooth curve C over k. By [5, Thm. 2.9], the relative Hilbert scheme of n points $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X/C)$ is smooth over C. The composite morphism $h : Z^n_{X/C} \to \mathrm{Hilb}^n(X/C) \to C$ from the universal family is a flat morphism, so one gets a flat family of algebraic varieties with non $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein and rational singularities.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We can assume that $\mathrm{supp}(\xi) = \{p\}$. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ denote the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ and I the defining ideal of ξ. Since A/I is Artinian local k-algebra, one has $A/I \simeq \hat{A}/\hat{I}$ as k-algebras, where $\hat{A}$, the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of A, is isomorphic to $k[\![x, y]\!]$.

Let $(R, \mathfrak{n})$ be the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^2,O}$ of $\mathbb{A}^2$ at the origin. For $r \gg 0$, one has the surjection $R/\mathfrak{n}^r \simeq \hat{A}/\hat{\mathfrak{m}}^r \to \hat{A}/\hat{I} \to 0$, so there exists an ideal $J \subset R$ such that $R/J \simeq \hat{A}/\hat{I}$ as k-algebras. Therefore it suffices to consider the case that $X = \mathbb{A}^2$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\xi) = \{O\}$.

Fix a monomial order $>$ for monomials in $k[x, y]$ and let $in_> I$ be the initial ideal of I. By [2, Thm. 15.17, Prop. 15.16], there exists a flat family of length n subschemes of X over a curve such that the central fibre corresponds to $k[x, y]/in_> I$, and the other fibres correspond to rings isomorphic to $k[x, y]/I$. Because b_2 is an upper semi-continuous function on the set of closed points on Z^n, it suffices to treat the case when I is a monomial ideal.



FIGURE 1

In the monomial case, as shown in Figure 1, a set of minimal generators of I corresponds to the black nodes, n the number of boxes, and b_2 the number of gray nodes. Then it becomes clear that $\frac{(b_2+1)b_2}{2} \leq n$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{1+8n}-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ is the optimal upper bound for b_2. □

REFERENCES

[1] Jan Cheah, *On the cohomology of Hilbert schemes of points*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **5** (1996), no. 3, 479–512.

[2] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra: with a view toward algebraic geometry*, vol. 150, Springer, 1995.

[3] Geir Ellingsrud and Manfred Lehn, *Irreducibility of the punctual quotient scheme of a surface*, Arkiv för Matematik **37** (1999), no. 2, 245–254.

[4] Geir Ellingsrud and Stein Strømme, *An intersection number for the punctual Hilbert scheme of a surface*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **350** (1998), no. 6, 2547–2552.

[5] John Fogarty, *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*, American Journal of Mathematics **90** (1968), no. 2, 511–521.

[6] ———, *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface, ii, the Picard scheme of the punctual Hilbert scheme*, American Journal of Mathematics **95** (1973), no. 3, 660–687.

[7] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, vol. 2, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[8] Mark Haiman, *Hilbert schemes, polygraphs and the Macdonald positivity conjecture*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **14** (2001), no. 4, 941–1006.

[9] AS Tikhomirov, *On Hilbert schemes and flag varieties of points on algebraic surfaces*, preprint (1992).

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607
Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045
E-mail address: lsong@ku.edu